QUALITY OF LIFE AND SOCIAL SUPPORT IN PATIENTS WITH MAJOR DEPRESSIVE DISORDER: A GENDER DIFFERENCE STUDY

Maheshbabu.N, Shital.M.

N.Maheshbabu. Assistant Professor, Dept. of PG Studies and Research in Psychology, Sri Dharmasthala Manjunatheshwara College (Autonomous), Ujire. Dakshina Kannada, Karnataka. India <u>madhupratihasta@sdmcujire.in</u> ShitalM,. Ph. D. Independent Researcher

ABSTRACT

The present study was planned to investigate gender differences in (Quality of Life) and social support as well as relationship between QOL and social support in patients with depressive disorder. **Methods:** The study was conducted in Out Patient Department of Ranchi Institute of Neuro Psychiatry and Allied Sciences (RINPAS), Kanke, Ranchi. It was a cross sectional study, comprised sample of 80 patients depressive disorder, among 40 were male and 40 were female selected with purposive sampling technique and fulfilling inclusion and exclusion criteria. **Result:** Female patients with depressive disorder on physical, psychological and environmental QOL domain. In female patients, social support had significant positive correlation with physical, psychological and environmental QOL. In male patients, social support was positively associated with psychological and social relationship QOL.

INTRODUCTION

Mental disorders are now widely recognized as a major contributor to the global burden of disease. In 2000, suicide ranked as the thirteenth leading Cause of death, accounting for 815000 deaths or 1.5% of all deaths worldwide. Just over a quarter of these deaths occurred in young adult males(i.e. those aged 15-44 years)(WHO, 2002). In terms of ill-healthand disability, the impact of poormental health is even greater: according WHO estimates, nearlyone-third of all years lived with disability (YLDs) worldwide can be attributed to neuropsychiatric conditions(i.e. mental disorders and neurologicaldisorders combined) (WHO, 2001b).

Sex and gender are both important determinants of health. Biological sex and socially-constructed gender interact

produce differential risks to and vulnerability to ill health. and differences in health-seeking behavior and health outcomes for women and men. Despite widespread recognition of these differences, health research has hitherto, more often than not, failed to address both sex and gender adequately. The gendered aspects of mental health and delivery of mental health care services has been an evolving area of research and practice in the last three decades elsewhere in the world, as well as in India. The area of research began with the understanding that men and women occupy different status in all societies and that this difference is bound to affect their health, mental health as well as their experiences with the mental health services. The differences in enjoyment of social status may relate to various socio-economic dimensions, such as access to basic education, needs. housing. social security, employment and a good Standard of life.

In appliedhealth research, including the socialsciences, problemhas the traditionally beenviewed as oneofrendering andinterpreting sexdifferentials in dataanalysis and exploring theimplications forpoliciesandprogrammes. However. examining the gender dimensions of a health issue involves much more than this. It requires unraveling how gender roles and norms, differences in access to resources and power, and gender-based discrimination influence male and female health and well-being.

The presentstudy was planned to investigate gender differences in QOL and social support as well as relationship between QOL and social support in patients with depressive disorder.

METHODS AND MATERIAL Objectives:

To study the quality of life in male and female patients with depressive disorder To study the social support in male and female patients with depressive disorder To study the relationship between quality of life and social support in male and female patients with depressive disorder

Hypothesis

There will be no significant difference on quality of life between male and female patients with depressive disorder There will be no significant difference on social support between male and female patients with depressive disorder There will be no significant difference between quality of life and social support in male and female patients with depressive disorder

RESEARCH METHDOLOGY

The study was conducted in Ranchi Institute of Neuro Psychiatry and Allied Sciences (RINPAS), Kanke, Ranchi. The samples for the study were taken from Out Patient Department (follow up patients). The present study was a cross sectional, hospital based one. The sample was comprised of 80 patients depressive disorder, among 40 were male and 40 were female. All the cases were diagnosed as per ICD-10 criteria by consultant of RINPAS at outpatient department. The subjects were selected by using purposive sampling technique. An inclusion and exclusion criterion used for selecting study subjects was as below.

Inclusion Criterion for the Depressive Disorder

- Patients in the age range of 18-45 yrs.
- Educated at least up to primary level.
- The patient accompanied by key care giver

Exclusion Criteria for the Patients Depressive Disorder

- Presence of any psychiatric comorbidity.
- Any history of alcohol or substance abuse (except tobacco).
- History of head injury
- History of epilepsy.
- Patient with any chronic medical condition

Tools

Socio Demographic Data Sheet

It is semi structured proforma. It contains information about sociodemographic variables like age, sex, religion, education marital status, domicile and occupation and clinical details like diagnosis, age of onset, total duration of illness, history of alcohol or substance abuse, family history of mental illness, any history of significant head injury, seizures, mental retardation and any other significant physical, organic or psychiatric illness.

Social Support Questionnaire (SSQ), (Nehra, Kulhara And Verma, 1995).

The questionnaire was developed by Nehra, Kulhara and Verma, (1995). The PGI Social Support Questionnaire is a reliable and valid measure of perceived social support. It is simple, easy, and quick to administer, score and interpret and it is dependable both in term of consistency and stability of sources. This scale measures perceived social support i.e. social support received by the subject. It has total of 18 items (including positive and negative items). Item no. 2, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12 and 18 are positively worded and scored as such but item no. 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 are negative items and have to be scored in the reverse order. Scoring pattern (of 4 to most agree to 1 to least agreed responses) was retained. The total score indicates the amount of social support perceived by the individual. Higher score indicates more perceived social support. The reliability and validity was highly satisfactory. Mean differences were insignificant (t=.64 ns) and relative reliability was highly significant and satisfactory (r=.59, p < .01). The concurrent validity was established by correlating score on Hindi adaptation of PGI Social support questionnaire. The score obtained

correlation of r=.80 was highly significant (p<.01).

WHOQOL - BREF scale (Hindi version)

Hindi version of the WHOQOL - BREF has derived from the original world health organization quality of life 100 scales. The WHOQOL-BREF contains a total of 26 questions. To provide a broad and comprehensive assessment, one item from each of the 24 facets contained in the WHOQOL-100 has been included. In addition, two items from the Overall quality of Life and General Health facet have been included. This scale is developed by Saxena et.al (1998). WHOQOL-BREF is a short version of WHOQOL -100 questionnaires. WHOQOL-BREF has been tested in 15 centers including New Delhi and Chennai from India. WHOQOL-BREF contains 26 questions in 4 major domains i.e. physical health, health. psychological social relationships and environment, to measure the quality of life. Activities of daily living, dependence on medicinal substances and medical aids, energy and fatigue, mobility, pain and discomfort, sleep and rest these facets incorporated with physical domain. Psychological domain included facets are bodily image and appearance, negative feelings, feelings, self-esteem, positive spirituality / religion / personal beliefs, learning. thinking. memory and concentration. Personal relationships, social support, sexual activity and work

capacity facets come under social domain. relationship Financial resources, freedom, physical safety and security, health and social care: accessibility quality, and home environment, opportunities for acquiring new information and skills, participation in and opportunities for recreation / leisure activities, physical environment (pollution / noise / traffic / climate) and transport facets are of environmental domain.

Procedures

The patients of Depressive Disorder, fulfilling ICD-10 criterion and satisfying the inclusion and exclusion criterion) at the OPD (Out Patient Department) level were selected for the study. The informed consent from the patients was obtained for willingness to participate in the study. The patients were informed and explained about the purpose of the study. After initial conversation for establishing rapport, demographic information was the socio recorded on demographic assessment proforma in the presence of the accompanying relative. There after Social Support Questionnaire and Quality of Life scale was administered on patients.

Statistical Analysis

The Descriptive statistical methods were used for nominal data. The nonparametric test Mann-Whitney U Test was used for analysis of ordinal data. And the non –parametric Spearman correlation method was used to investigate the relationship between

study variables.

RESULT

Table1: Mean (SD) of Socio-demographic and Clinical Variables of Depressive **Disorder Patients**

Socio-	Patient's Depressive Diso	t (16.79)	
Demographic/ Clinical Variables	Male Mean <u>+</u> SD	Female Mean <u>+</u> SD	(df=78)
Age	30.32 <u>+</u> 5.61	29.17 <u>+</u> 2.64	0.245NS
Age of Onset	26.97 <u>+</u> 3.67	27.17 <u>+</u> 1.93	0.762NS
Duration of Illness	3.35 <u>+</u> 3.25	2.00 <u>+</u> 0.87	0.013*

*=p<u><</u>0.5; NS= Not Significant

Table 2: Socio Demographic and Clinical Variables of Depressive Disorder Patients

Sagia Domographic Variables		Patient's Group Depressive Disorder Patients		
				X2
Socio-Demog	Socio-Demographic Variables		Female	(df=1)
		N (%)	N (%)	
1 50	18 to 30 yrs	22 (55)	25 (62.5)	0.496
Age	31 to 45 yrs	18 (45)	15 (37.5)	NS
Education	Up to 12 th Std.	30 (75)	25 (62.5)	0.228
Education	Above 12 th Std.	10 (25)	15 (37.5)	NS
Occuration	Unemployed	16 (40)	33 (82.5)	0.000**
Occupation	Employed	24 (60)	7 (17.5)	0.000****
Marital	Single	11 (27.5)	7 (17.5)	0.284
status	Married	29 (72.5)	33 (82.5)	NS
Socio-	Lower	10 (25)	15 (37.5)	0.228
Economic	Middle	30 (75)	25 (62.5)	- 0.228 NS
Status	Midule	30 (73)	23 (02.3)	IND
	Rural	25 (62.5)	16 (40)	0.132
Domicile	Urban	5 (12.5)	8 (20)	- 0.132 - NS
	Semi-Urban	10 (25)	16 (40)	115
Religion	Hindu	33 (82.5)	30 (75)	0.412
	Muslim	7 (17.5)	10 (25)	NS
Family	Nuclear	26 (65)	18 (45)	0.072
Туре	Joint	14 (35)	22 (55)	NS

** = p<u><</u>0.01; NS= Not Significant

Table3: Comparison on QOL in Male and Female Patients with Depressive Disorder

QOL Domains		Mann-	
	Depressive Dis		
	Male	Female	Whitney U
	Mean <u>+</u> SD	Mean <u>+</u> SD	
Physical Domain	81.70 <u>+</u> 15.10	67.50 <u>+</u> 23.00	417.50**
Psychological Domain	66.10 <u>+</u> 14.06	50.20 <u>+</u> 5.35	309.00**
Social Relationship Domain	35.50 <u>+</u> 6.85	37.10 <u>+</u> 7.79	642.50NS
Environmental Domain	89.90 <u>+</u> 16.25	80.30 <u>+</u> 24.53	535.00*
	Physical Domain Psychological Domain Social Relationship Domain Environmental	Depressive Dis Male Mean ± SD Physical Domain 81.70 ±15.10 Psychological 66.10± 14.06 Domain 35.50 ±6.85 Environmental 89.90± 16.25	Male Female Maie Female Mean ± SD Mean ± SD Physical Domain 81.70 ±15.10 67.50 ±23.00 Psychological Domain 66.10±14.06 50.20±5.35 Social Relationship Domain 35.50±6.85 37.10±7.79 Environmental 89.90±16.25 80.30±24.53

*= $p \le 0.5$ ** = $p \le 0.01$ NS= Not Significant

 Table 4: Comparison on Social Support in Male and Female Patients with

 Depressive Disorder

Sr.	Patients Group Depressive Disorder Patients		
No	Male	Female	Mann-Whitney U
	Mean <u>+</u> SD	Mean <u>+</u> SD	
1	40.92 <u>+</u> 6.49	42.57 <u>+</u> 6.41	652.00 NS

NS= Not Significant

 Table 5: Relationship between QOL and Social Support in Male and Female patients of depressive disorder

Depressive Disorder Patients' Group	QOL Domains	Physical Domain	Psychological Domain	Social Relationship Domain	Environmental Domain
Male	Social Support	-0.129	0.884**	0.831**	-0.092
Female	Social Support	0.489**	0.074	0.782**	0.964**

** = p<u>≤</u>0.01

DISCUSSION:

The objective of the study was to explore gender differences in quality of life and social support in the patients with depressive disorder. In addition to investigate the relationship between QOL and Social support in male and female patients with depressive disorder.

Study findings showed that female patients with depressive disorder scored significantly lower than male depressive disorder patients on physical, psychological and social environmental domain. The present study findings are supported by Bonsaksen, T. 2012; Gostautas, et al., 2006.

The lower mean score on physical domain by female patients suggested that the female patients are more dependent on medical aids, having less energy and fatigue, pain and discomfort and difficulty in mobility, sleep and taking rest.

The fewer score byfemale patients on psychological domain indicated that female patients dominated by negative feelings, low self esteem, poor personal appearance and difficulty in concentration, memory, thinking and learning processes.

Female patients perceived poor environmental quality of life than male patients. They found lack of financial resources, freedom, physical safety and security and poor home environment.

There is no significant difference found on social support between male and female patients with depressive disorder. The present study findings are similar to study findings of Gostautas, et al., 2006; Kuehner, C., &Buerger, C. 2005.

The findings on relationship between QOL and social support in male and female patients indicated that social support has significant association with psychological and social relationship domain in male patients. It suggested that when male patients received positive social support from their family and friends is influence positively on psychological and social relationship QOL. But negative association ship of social support with physical and environmental domain suggested that of social support influence lack negatively on physical and environmental QOL.

In female patients, social support had significant relationship with physical, social and environmental domain. It indicated that better social support help to improve physical, social relationship and environmental QOL.

CONCLUSION: Female patients with depressive disorder had poor QOL than male depressive disorder patients. Social support helps in enhancing and maintaining physical, psychological and environmental QOL in female and in male patients with depressive disorder.

REFERENCES:

Afifi M. (2007). Gender differences in mental health. *Singapore Med Journal.* 48 (5).385. Retrieved

from:

http://www.genderbias.net/docs/reso urces/guideline/Gender%20differen ces%20in%20mental%20health.pdf.

Davar.B.V. Gender and Community Mental Health: Sharing Experiences from our Service Program. Retrieved from: http://s3.amazonaws.com/academia. edu.

Goutautas. A, Pranckeviciene.A. A, & Matoniene.V. (2006). Changes in depression and quality of life during inpatient treatment of depression. *Medicine (Kaunas)*; 42 (6). Retrieved from: http://medicina.lsmuni.lt/0606/0606-05e.pdf.

Gjerdingen. D.K & Chaloner. K.M. (1994). The relationship of women's postpartum mental health to employment, childbirth, and social support. *Journal of Family Practices* 1994; 38:465-72.

Hobfoll S, Ritter C, Lavin J, Hulsizer. M. R, & Cameron RP. (1995). Depression prevalence and incidence among inner-city pregnant and postpartum women. *Journal of Consult Clinical Psychology*. 63:445-53.

Kuehner.C, Buerger.C. (2005). Determinants of subjective quality of life in depressed patients: The role of self-esteem, response styles, and social support. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. Vol 86. 2.205-213. <u>http://www.jadjournal.com/article/S0165-</u> 0327%2805%2900020-0/abstract.

Kohen.D. (2001).Gender and mental health: recognition of issues. Advances in unresolved *Psychiatric Treatment*,7: 83-84. Retrieved from: http://apt.rcpsych.org/content/7/2/83

Nazroo. J.Y (2006). *Exploring* gender difference in depression. *Psychiatric Times*; Vol. XVIII, 3.37. McGovern P, Dowd B, Gjerdingen D, et al. Postpartum health of employed mothers 5 weeks after childbirth. *Ann Fam Med.* 4.159-67.

Peindl. K.S, Wisner. K.L, & Hanusa. B.H. (2004). Identifying depression in the first postpartum year: guidelines for of fice-based screening and referral. *Journal of Affect Disorder*.80:37-44.

Ramsay.R,Welch.S,&Youard.E.(2001).Needs of women patientswith mental illness.Advances inPsychiatricTreatment.7.85-92.Retrievedfrom:http://apt.rcpsych.org/content/7/2/85

Tore. B . (2012). "Exploring gender differences in quality of life", *Mental Health Review Journal*, Vol. 17 1.39 – 49. Retrieved from: http://www.emeraldinsight.com/mul timedia/index.htm

WHO. (2001).*The world health report. Mental health: new understanding, new hope. Geneva,* World Health Organization.

WHO. (2005).*The world health report. Gender in mental health research. Geneva*, World Health Organization. Retrieved from: <u>http://www.who.int/gender/documen</u> <u>ts/MentalHealthlast2.pdf</u>