

INFLUENCE OF HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (HRM) ON BUSINESS PERFORMANCE

Sudipta Kumar Basu, Assistant Professor, Management and Social Science, Haldia Institute of Technology

ABSTRACT

In this paper the impact of human resource management (HRM) on business performance is investigated. The fundamental objective was to completely and methodically look at writing in the area of HRM, and business performance that brought about a succinct audit paper. A huge collection of writing tends to the HRM-business performance interface, accordingly there was a lot of space for a broad investigation of different articles. The discoveries show that there is a positive connection between HRM practices and in general business performance. Furthermore, HRM affects employee prosperity, productivity, and authoritative environment. Nonetheless, there is plausible that great HRM practices are not the reason for great business performance, but rather the other way around. This issue is tended to, and examined further in this current article. In view of the accumulated data, and got results, it is protected to recommend that this precise survey paper has a huge commitment to the current assortment of writing. Also, the paper can be utilized as a beginning stage for future exploration in the area of HRM practices and their effect on business performance.

Keywords: Human resource management, Business performance, Employee productivity, Business strategy

I. INTRODUCTION

A review directed by (Akhtar et al., 2008) proposed that there is a potential connection between satisfactory human resource management (HRM) and increment of business performance. Specifically, preparing and interior profession openings decidedly impacted item, and administration performance (Stavrou et al., 2010). Also, in the examination of (Björkman and Xiucheng, 2002), it was noticed that firm performance is emphatically corresponded with superior performance HRM frameworks. HRM frameworks are firmly connected with business techniques, along these lines it emphatically influences business performance too (Lee et al., 2010). In any case, (Apospori et al., 2008) contended that HRM might affect organization performance in various conditions (topographical area, contenders, market and so forth) The impact of HRM frameworks relies upon different persuasive variables, and it isn't consistently sure how will specific human resource activities influence business performance (Subramony, 2009). It is

careless to expect that HRM practices could absolutely further develop organization performance (Wall and Wood, 2016).

In view of the referenced discoveries it is clear that there is space for future examination in this area. The point of this paper is to analyze the writing in the space of HRM and its effect on business performance. HRM is regularly significant for organizations to accomplish seriousness available (Pološki et al., 2008; Saha et al., 2017). Further, the seriousness of organizations is vital for long haul business greatness (Đorđević et al., 2016). The connections between employees are intricate. In this way, the examination of what HRM frameworks mean for business performance is invited.

There is an enormous assortment of writing on HRM frameworks, and the different impacts they have on employees. This current review gives a succinct precise audit of the effect that HRM has on organizations' seriousness, and generally business performance.

II. INDIVIDUAL STUDIES ON HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (HRM) PRACTICES ON BUSINESS PERFORMANCE

Results of individual studies between 1996 and 2000 are mentioned below:

ID	Authors	Findings	Research details
A1	(Delery & Doty, 1996)	The results indicated there is a universal relationship between human resource practices and accounting measures of performance. In addition, a strong relationship is noted between profit sharing and employee rewarding strategies. Further, HRM was positively correlated to employment security.	A total of 1050 banks were included in the survey. The research included two different surveys. The first survey was meant for human resource managers, and the second survey was aimed at the bank clerks.
A2	(Delaney & Huselid, 1996)	The study finds no evidence of positive relationship between HRM and company performance. Furthermore, even advanced HRM strategies are not complementary to enhanced business performance.	727 organizations were interviewed via survey and telephone survey.
A3	(Harel & Tzafrir, 1999)	The study showed that organizations which treated their employees as important assets had a higher perception of business performance.	760 organizations which employ more than 200 workers, were surveyed.
A4	(Bae & Lawler, 2000)	The results of the research indicated that HRM strategies that practice high involvement of employees, have a positive effect on business performance.	The research was sampled from randomly chosen local companies in South Korea. All of these companies had at least 50 employees.

Results of individual studies between 2003 and 2006 are mentioned below:

ID	Authors	Findings	Research details
A5	(Guest, Michie, Conway, & Sheehan, 2003)	Interestingly, the results indicated that there is no correlation between HRM practice and improved performance. However, it was noted that there is a slightly positive relationship between HRM and lower work turnover. In contrast, the relationship was observed between human resource practices and productivity.	366 companies successfully completed the survey and were eligible for further data processing.
A6	(Ahmad & Schroeder, 2003)	This research noted that there is a positive relationship between business performance and the following HRM practices: selective hiring, self-managed teams, using compensation contingents, and organizational performance.	107 manufacturing companies were included in the research.
A7	(Gelade & Ivery, 2003)	In this study it was noted that there is a partial relationship between HRM, organizational climate and performance. The main components of the HRM variable were working overtime, staffing, and professional development of employees.	The sample for this research included 137 brand director groups that represent a cluster of bank branches.

Results of individual studies published between 2003 and 2006 are mentioned below:

ID	Authors	Findings	Research details
A8	(Collins & Clark, 2003)	The results of this research supported the notion that HRM has a positive relationship with higher business performance. This performance increase is the result of reinforcing and developing resources that are based on the employees.	73 high-technology companies in the mid-Atlantic region of the USA, participated in the survey.
A9	(Datta, et al., 2005)	It was noted that the relationship between HRM and business performance, is dependent on the type of research that is conducted.	132 manufacturing companies with a minimum of 100 employees were surveyed.
A10	(Gerhart, 2005)	It was concluded that there are certain limitations when it comes to linking HRM and business performance. This study argues that business performance and great employee relationships can be achieved without HRM.	This study reviewed articles regarding human resource management between 1995 and 2005.
A11	(Stavrou & Brewster, 2005)	The research suggested that training, and developing employees positively affected organizational productivity, and employee skills. In addition, through an effective HRM, organizations can control external pressures and situations more effectively.	Data was collected from 3702 for-profit businesses.
A12	(Lambooi, et al., 2006)	In this study no support was found for the proposition that better strategic, and internal HRM links to a higher cooperation of employees.	In this research 723 employees participated from 10 different organizations.
A13	(Boselie et al., 2005)	This systematic review noted that there are severe limitations when it comes to measuring HRM efficiency and its impact on business performance. Therefore, it cannot be concluded that HRM has a positive impact on business performance.	The review included articles between 1994 and 1995. In addition, studies from 2003 were also addressed.
A14	(Vanhalta & Tuomi, 2006)	Contradictory to previous studies, this article argues that there is no evidence of positive relationship between any type of HRM practice and company performance.	235 companies in 1997; 2599 employees in 1998; 91 companies and 1389 employees between 1997 and 2000 were surveyed.

Results of individual studies published between 2007 and 2010 are mentioned below:

ID	Authors	Findings	Research details
A17	(Bartra et al., 2007)	In this research it was suggested that there are positive associations between HRM functions and cost-effective outcomes. In addition, the results indicated that women reported higher levels of negative interaction regarding human resource management, in opposite to male employees. Other organizational factors such as strategic goals clarity, company history, and organizational culture also have an impact on the perception of human resource management efficiency.	The data was collected via a survey from 132 healthcare facilities in Victoria, Australia.
A18	(Chand & Katou, 2007)	The findings of this research indicate that there is a positive relation between HRM systems, and the following performance indicators: manpower planning, pay systems, recruitment and selection, training and development, and overall business performance.	The data for this research was obtained from 439 hotels. The survey was self-administered and investigated 27 human resource management practices.
A25	(Ferguson & Reio, 2010)	The findings of the study indicated that human resource inputs are not in a relationship with overall business performance.	The study included 350 business professionals from US professional organizations.

Results of individual studies published between 2012 and 2018 are mentioned below:

ID	Authors	Findings	Research details
A20	(Bučiūnienė & Kazlauskaitė, 2012)	The study suggests that there is a link between HRM, corporate social responsibility and organizational performance. Additionally, it was found that the strategic role of HRM has a positive impact on organizational performance, and financial performance.	Data was collected from 119 medium and large companies that employ over 100 workers.
A21	(Corral de Zubielqui et al., 2017)	It was found that modern HRM practices have a moderate importance when it comes to innovativeness and company performance.	1204 companies from the Australian Business register were surveyed. The obtained data included three financial years starting from June 2010 up to June 2013.
A19	(Farouk et al., 2016)	The study concludes that HRM is positively correlated to organization innovation, enhanced strategy innovation, and overall organizational performance.	165 banks from the United Arab Emirates participated in the survey. The survey measured 23 items regarding human resource practices.
A23	(Foss et al., 2015)	This extensive study discussed that employees who are rewarded for their hard work are more likely to share knowledge, thus the knowledge-sharing human-resource management motivates employees, indirectly enhancing productivity.	6 Danish car parts manufacturing companies with more 18760 than employees from which 8855 participated in the research. The data was obtained via surveys.

A24	(Glaister et al., 2018)	This research examined the relationship between HRM practices, employee performance, training and developing skills, recruitment process, and overall business performance. Based on the results, it was concluded that effective HRM strategies have a positive relationship with overall employee satisfaction, and business performance.	The survey was sent to 800 Turkish companies with no less than 50 employees. 198 companies responded with eligible surveys.
A22	(Mallén et al., 2015)	In this research it was pointed out that excellent HRM organizations positively influences the organization's capability to learn and improve business strategy outcomes.	251 companies who demonstrated superior HRM performance participated in the survey.

It is apparent that HRM affects business performance. Comparably to the consequences of a quantitative survey directed by (Van De Voorde et al., 2012), this current survey contends that HRM frameworks are viable for expanding employee productivity. Be that as it may, (Van De Voorde et al., 2012) additionally noticed that employee wellbeing, and prosperity isn't firmly related to HRM practices. Moreover, a broad meta-examination of HRM practices and firm performance, led by (Saridakis et al., 2017), detailed a relationship worth of 0.287. Considerably more intriguing is that the discoveries of (Katou and Budhwar, 2010) recommend that there is probability of opposite causation. All the more unequivocally, high hierarchical performance might further develop HRM practices. This is fairly significant for future

examination. It tends to be accepted that HRM practices shouldn't be the principle objective of SMEs yet rather accomplishing high business performance and the great HRM practices will follow. Assuming directors are zeroing in intensely on HRM quite possibly the opposite side of business exercises will have lower performance. Absolutely, there are SMEs where the employees are fulfilled with their work environment however productivity and quality are low. Expecting that great HRM practices are the aftereffect of good business performance the question emerges that: Is HRM practice advancement an essential part of business or would it be able to be applied in different structures (ex. chiefs, or in most pessimistic scenario situations legitimate portrayal of clashed employees)? To resolve this issue top to bottom it is important to lead an organized exploration including SMEs yet huge organizations too.

III. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the impact of HRM practices and frameworks on business performance were examined. What's more, the general positive parts of HRM were broke down. Presently, the outcomes in this present deliberate survey tend towards the end that HRM has, to be sure, a positive connection with business performance. The greater part of the acquired articles recommended that, without a doubt, there is a connection between great HRM practices and other business performance measurements.

IV. REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmad, S., & Schroeder, R. G. (2003). The impact of human resource management practices on operational performance: recognizing country and industry differences. *Journal of Operations Management*, 21(1), 19-43.
- [2] Akhtar, S., Ding, D. Z., & Ge, G. L. (2008). Strategic HRM practices and their impact on company performance in Chinese enterprises. *Human Resource Management*, 47(1), 15-32. doi: 10.1002/hrm.20195

- [3] Alfes, K., Shantz, A., & Truss, C. (2012). The link between perceived HRM practices, performance and well-being: the moderating effect of trust in the employer. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 22(4), 409-427. doi: 10.1111/1748-8583.12005
- [4] Apospori, E., Nikandrou, I., Brewster, C., & Papalexandris, N. (2008). HRM and organizational performance in northern and southern Europe. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 19(7), 1187-1207. doi: 10.1080/09585190802109788
- [5] Bae, J., & Lawler, J. J. (2000). Organizational and HRM strategies in Korea: Impact on firm performance in an emerging economy. *Academy of Management Journal*, 43(3), 502-517.
- [6] Bartram, T., Stanton, P., Leggat, S., Casimir, G., & Fraser, B. (2007). Lost in translation: exploring the link between HRM and performance in healthcare. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 17(1), 21-41.
- [7] Björkman, I., & Xiucheng, F. (2002). Human resource management and the performance of Western firms in China. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 13(6), 853-864. doi: 10.1080/09585190210134246
- [8] Boselie, P., Dietz, G., & Boon, C. (2005). Commonalities and contradictions in HRM and performance research. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 15(3), 67-94.
- [9] Bučiūnienė, I., & Kazlauskaitė, R. (2012). The linkage between HRM, CSR and performance outcomes. *Baltic Journal of Management*, 7(1), 5-24. doi: 10.1108/17465261211195856
- [10] Chand, M., & Katou, A. A. (2007). The impact of HRM practices on organisational performance in the Indian hotel industry. *Employee Relations*, 29(6), 576-594. doi: 10.1108/01425450710826096
- [11] Collins, C. J., & Clark, K. D. (2003). Strategic human resource practices, top management team social networks, and firm performance: The role of human resource practices in creating organizational competitive advantage. *Academy of Management Journal*, 46(6), 740-751.
- [12] Corral de Zubielqui, G., Fryges, H., & Jones, J. (2017). Social media, open innovation & HRM: Implications for performance. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. doi: 10.1016/j.techfore.2017.07.014
- [13] Datta, D. K., Guthrie, J. P., & Wright, P. M. (2005). Human resource management and labor productivity: does industry matter? *Academy of Management Journal*, 48(1), 135-145.
- [14] Delaney, J. T., & Huselid, M. A. (1996). The impact of human resource management practices on perceptions of organizational performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39(4), 949-969.
- [15] Delery, J. E., & Doty, D. H. (1996). Modes of theorizing in strategic human resource management: Tests of universalistic, contingency, and configurational performance predictions. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39(4), 802-835.
- [16] Den Hartog, D. N., Boon, C., Verburg, R. M., & Croon, M. A. (2012). HRM, Communication, Satisfaction, and Perceived Performance. *Journal of Management*, 39(6), 1637-1665. doi: 10.1177/0149206312440118
- [17] Đorđević, D., Čočkalović, D., & Bogetić, S. (2016). The Analysis of Marketing Concept Implementation in Domestic Enterprises. *Journal of Engineering Management of Competitiveness*, 6(2), 120-128.
- [18] Farouk, S., Kumar Singh, T. F. B. a. S., Abu Elanain, H. M., Obeidat, S. M., & Al-Nahyan, M. (2016). HRM practices and organizational performance in the UAE banking sector. *International Journal of*

- Productivity and Performance Management, 65(6), 773-791. doi: 10.1108/ijppm-01-2016-0010
- [19] Ferguson, K. L., & Reio, T. G. (2010). Human resource management systems and firm performance. *Journal of Management Development*, 29(5), 471-494. doi: 10.1108/02621711011039231
- [20] Foss, N. J., Pedersen, T., Reinholt Fosgaard, M., & Stea, D. (2015). Why Complementary HRM Practices Impact Performance: The Case of Rewards, Job Design, and Work Climate in a Knowledge-Sharing Context. *Human Resource Management*, 54(6), 955-976. doi: 10.1002/hrm.21649
- [21] Gelade, G. A., & Ivery, M. (2003). The impact of human resource management and work climate on organizational performance. *Personnel Psychology*, 56(2), 383-404.
- [22] Gerhart, B. (2005). Human resources and business performance: Findings, unanswered questions, and an alternative approach. *Management Revue*, 16(2), 174-185.
- [23] Glaister, A. J., Karacay, G., Demirbag, M., & Tatoglu, E. (2018). HRM and performance-The role of talent management as a transmission mechanism in an emerging market context. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 28(1), 148-166. doi: 10.1111/1748-8583.12170
- [24] Guest, D. E., Michie, J., Conway, N., & Sheehan, M. (2003). Human resource management and corporate performance in the UK. *British Journal of Industrial Relations*, 41(2), 291-314.
- [25] Harel, G. H., & Tzafir, S. S. (1999). The effect of human resource management practices on the perceptions of organizational and market performance of the firm. *Human Resource Management*, 38(3), 185-199.
- [26] Katou, A. A., & Budhwar, P. S. (2010). Causal relationship between HRM policies and organisational performance: Evidence from the Greek manufacturing sector. *European Management Journal*, 28(1), 25-39. doi: 10.1016/j.emj.2009.06.001
- [27] Lambooi, M., Sanders, K., Ferry, K., & Zwiers, M. (2006). Human Resource Practices and Organisational Performance: Can the HRMperformance linkage be explained by the cooperative behaviours of employees? *Management Revue*, 17(3), 223-240.
- [28] Lee, F.-H., Lee, T.-Z., & Wu, W.-Y. (2010). The relationship between human resource management practices, business strategy and firm performance: evidence from steel industry in Taiwan. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 21(9), 1351-1372. doi: 10.1080/09585192.2010.488428
- [29] Mallén, F., Chiva, R., Alegre, J., & Guinot, J. (2015). Organicity and performance in excellent HRM organizations: the importance of organizational learning capability. *Review of Managerial Science*, 10(3), 463-485. doi: 10.1007/s11846-014-0164-2
- [30] Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & Group, P. (2010). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *International Journal of Surgery*, 8(5), 336-341.
- [31] Pološki Vokić, N., & Vidović, M. (2008). HRM as a Significant Factor for Achieving Competitiveness through People: The Croatian Case. *International Advances in Economic Research*, 14(3), 303-315. doi: 10.1007/s11294-008-9156-9
- [32] Renee Baptiste, N. (2008). Tightening the link between employee wellbeing at work and performance: A new dimension for HRM. *Management Decision*, 46(2), 284-309. doi: 10.1108/00251740810854168
- [33] Saha, N., Gregar, A., & Saha, P. (2017). Organizational agility and HRM strategy:

- Do they really enhance firms' competitiveness? *International Journal of Organizational Leadership*, 6(3), 323.
- [34] Saridakis, G., Lai, Y., & Cooper, C. L. (2017). Exploring the relationship between HRM and firm performance: A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Human Resource Management Review*, 27(1), 87-96. doi: 10.1016/j.hrmmr.2016.09.005
- [35] Stavrou, E. T., & Brewster, C. (2005). The configurational approach to linking strategic human resource management bundles with business performance: myth or reality? *Management Revue*, 16(2), 186-201.
- [36] Stavrou, E. T., Brewster, C., & Charalambous, C. (2010). Human resource management and firm performance in Europe through the lens of business systems: best fit, best practice or both? *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 21(7), 933-962. doi: 10.1080/09585191003783371
- [37] Subramony, M. (2009). A meta-analytic investigation of the relationship between HRM bundles and firm performance. *Human Resource Management*, 48(5), 745-768. doi: 10.1002/hrm.20315
- [38] Sun, L.-Y., Aryee, S., & Law, K. S. (2007). Highperformance human resource practices, citizenship behavior, and organizational performance: A relational perspective. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(3), 558-577.
- [39] Van De Voorde, K., Paauwe, J., & Van Veldhoven, M. (2012). Employee Well-being and the HRM Organizational Performance Relationship: A Review of Quantitative Studies. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 14(4), 391-407. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2370.2011.00322.x
- [40] Vanhala, S., & Tuomi, K. (2006). HRM, company performance and employee well-being. *Management Revue*, 3(17), 241-255.
- [41] Wall, T. D., & Wood, S. J. (2016). The romance of human resource management and business performance, and the case for big science. *Human Relations*, 58(4), 429-462. doi: 10.1177/0018726705055032