

# EFFECT OF VERMICOMPOST ON GROWTH OF PLANTS

Aparna Vishwakarma, (Research Scholar)

Guide- Dr. K.K.Choudhary

Jayoti Vidhyapeeth Women's University, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

## ABSTRACT

The impact of vermicompost and different manures on the development and efficiency of pepper plants (*Capsicum chinense*). Plants were treated with five distinct medicines, specifically T1 (Promix), T2 (vermicompost), T3 (189), T4 (189 + vermicompost), and finally, control which had no composts. T1, T3, and T4 were inorganic manures, and T2 was organic. Results got demonstrated that T3 (synthetic manure) significantly affects the development of pepper plants delivering plants with better plant tallness, number of leaves, number of branches, stem width, higher natural product yield, natural product weight and organic product distance across. Plants treated with this treatment additionally had higher natural product yield, natural product weight, and natural product measurement. Mineral supplements were most noteworthy in plants treated with inorganic composts when contrasted with the natural manure. Most extreme chlorophyll level was available in plants treated with T2. There were moderately significant levels of nuisance and illnesses in plants treated with compound composts, deferred blossoming and fruiting period and elevated levels of leaf and natural product abscission when contrasted with plants treated with natural manure (T2). Also, T3 has demonstrated to greatly affect the development boundaries of pepper plants however not the nature of plants produce.

**KEYWORDS:** vermicompost, organic agriculture, chemical fertilizers, plant productivity, pepper

*Article Received: 10 August 2020, Revised: 25 October 2020, Accepted: 18 November 2020*

## INTRODUCTION

Peppers (*Capsicum chinense*) have a place with the Solanaceae family. They are become worldwide and are broadly refreshing for their hot flavor and healthy benefit. Peppers were generally developed utilizing traditional uses of inorganic manures and pesticides. In any case, because of the rising consciousness of the antagonistic financial and natural effect of synthetics in yield creations, the use of natural cultivating has been animated as the fundamental cultivating strategy today. Natural cultivating includes the utilization of natural materials without synthetic commitments for developing yields [1]. Natural fertilizers for developing yields are an arrangement of waste materials. Because of the consistent expansion in populace size and improved expectations for everyday comforts the world over, the developed of waste materials is turning into a blossoming issue since these waste materials transmit unsafe substances to the environment when consumed. Consuming likewise executes the microbial populace of the dirt, obliterates the dirt natural issue, and influences the by and large actual synthesis of the dirt [2]. Accordingly, appropriate waste administration can be kept up by utilizing these natural squanders as substrate in agribusiness through natural cultivating. Treating the soil of natural waste offers answer for a lot of waste around the world. Fertilizing the soil is a characteristic cycle of reusing

disintegrated natural materials into a rich soil known as fertilizer. Conventional fertilizing the soil of natural squanders has been known for quite a long time, however new techniques for thermophilic fertilizing the soil have gotten substantially more typical in natural waste treatment [3]. One such fertilizing the soil method is vermicomposting. Vermicomposting is a kind of natural cultivating by which night crawlers breakdown natural waste materials, animate microbial action, and simultaneously, increment the pace of mineralization of the dirt. These exercises convert squander materials into humus-like substances called vermicompost. Vermitechnology is the utilization of surface and subsurface neighborhood assortments of worms [4]. Night crawlers make light of a significant part in breaking waste materials to frame vermicompost. Vermicompost are finely separated peat like materials with high water holding limit, amazing structure, porosity, and air circulation. Vermicompost is a natural compost that is wealthy in supplements, poor in promptly biodegradable carbon, and moderately liberated from any plant and human microbes [5]. It has incredibly expanded surface territory, which gives more prominent zone to microbial movement to occur and solid adsorption and maintenance of supplements [6, 7]. The action of natural cultivating using vermicompost would be an inescapable practice for quite a long time to come for maintainable agribusiness, since vermicompost

discharges supplements at a moderate rate that takes into consideration simple take-up by plants and improves the dampness holding limit of the dirt that outcomes in better nature of harvests produce [8]. Ansari [2] laid out various wellsprings of recyclable natural waste, and he arranged these loss as either rural waste, creature squander, metropolitan strong waste, or agro modern waste. Creature excrement, arranged as creature squander, is an important asset as soil compost, since it gives moderately a lot of macronutrients and micronutrients for crop development and creation and simultaneously, giving a naturally benevolent option in contrast to mineral manures [9]. Hefty utilization of agrochemicals since 1960s expanded food profitability at the expense of climate and society. It murdered the valuable soil life forms, wrecked their regular richness, debilitated the influence of "natural opposition" in yields, making them more vulnerable to irritations and illnesses. From that point forward, the transformation of vermicomposting contemplates has been in a hurry for improving yield creation. The utilization of vermicompost for planting has been featured in horticulture as a useful mode for improving plant development and yield and the support of soil richness. This natural issue has demonstrated to improve the general soil structure, soil richness, and harvest yield [3]. The point of this venture is to research the impact of vermicompost and different composts on the development and efficiency of pepper plants (*C. chinense*). Natural cultivating is enormously helpful and is more monetarily feasible than inorganic cultivating. Natural cultivating controls bug and infections without hurting the climate, forestalls contamination, and expands soil richness, so that harvests produce will contain sufficient supplements, and better attractive cost will be advertised. Vermicompost is outstanding amongst other natural media for planting. Vermicompost is profoundly natural and contains no synthetic compounds, so it is earth benevolent. It is more nutritious and deliveries supplements at a moderate rate that is effortlessly taken up by plants, and it disposes of the requirement for use of pesticides, since plants are solid and liberated from any vermin and sicknesses. The point of this exploration is to decide the impact of vermicompost and different manures on the development of pepper plants. It will exhibit how regular natural waste can be changed over into a supplement rich substrate that is without synthetic and massively affects the nature of yields produce. This examination will be of significant advantages to ranchers in improving their comprehension on how vermicomposting can improve the nature of yields produce, increment the richness of the dirt, and lessen the cost expected to

buy manufactured composts for development, since vermicompost contains all the basic supplements that help greatest development. This examination will profit ranchers, yet in addition it will profit the climate by diminishing contamination rate, since squander materials can be utilized as substrate for upgrading soil ripeness. Natural cultivating assumes a significant job in agribusiness today and will be an extraordinary impact later on for protected and great nature of yields. A few explores that were done have demonstrated the significance of vermicompost and its effect on yield creation when contrasted with different manures.

The capacity of certain types of worm to devour and breakdown a wide scope of natural deposits, for example, sewage slop, creature squanders, crop buildups and mechanical deny is notable (Dominguez et al., 1997; Edwards et al., 1985; Kaushik and Garg, 2003). The utilization of natural alterations, for example, conventional thermophilic manures has been perceived by and large as a compelling methods for improving soil collection, structure and richness, expanding microbial variety and populaces improving the dampness holding limit of soils, expanding the dirt Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) and expanding crop yields (Marinari et al., 2000). Vermicompost contains most supplements in plant-accessible structures, for example, nitrates, phosphates and replaceable calcium and dissolvable potassium (Orozco et al., 1996). There is aggregating logical proof that vermicomposts can impact the development and efficiency of plants altogether (Edward, 1998). Different nursery and field considers have inspected the impacts of an assortment of vermicomposts on a wide scope of harvests including grains and vegetables (Kaushik and Garg, 2003), vegetable (Tomati et al., 1990; Wilson and Carlile, 1989; Subler et al., 1998; Atiyeh et al., 2000b), decorative and blooming plants (Atiyeh et al., 2000b) and field crops (Arancon et al., 2004). Dissolve use of sufficient measures of some natural deposits (vermicompost) prompted critical expansion in soil catalyst exercises, for example, urease, phosphomonoesterase, phosphodiesterase and arylsulphatase (Albiach et al., 2000a). Plant development advancing microbes (PGPB) straightforwardly invigorate development by nitrogen obsession (Han et al., 2005), solubilization of supplements (Rodriguez and Fraga, 1999), creation of development chemicals, 1-amino-cyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase (Correa et al., 2004) and by implication by alienating pathogenic parasites by creation of siderophores, chitinase,  $\beta$ -1, 3-glucanase, anti-infection agents, fluorescent pigments and cyanide (Han et al., 2005). In spite of

the advantageous consequences for development and yield of plants, higher metal focus in this material might be an issue and cutoff its usage (Jordao et al., 2006).

The fundamental point of this examination was to decide the impacts of various pace of vermicompost on the development, yield and natural product nature of tomato under field conditions.

## DISCUSSION

Plants need supplements from composts for development and endurance, since most soil doesn't give adequate supplements to ideal development. Manures are basic piece of present day cultivating. Composts might be natural or inorganic, and their impact on plant development relies on the essential supplements they contain. Natural cultivating is eco-accommodating, improves soil ripeness, and supports better return. Synthetic cultivating then again has constructive outcome on harvest development once use in the right extent, yet concentrated use can endanger the preservation of soil and welcome new issues, which may present wellbeing risk on the climate. Manures as a rule are basic in current cultivating, and the richness status of the dirt is probably going to decay except if sufficient measure of supplements is added to the dirt.

A few investigations have analyzed the impact of vermicompost on development and yield of vegetables in holder development media. These investigations demonstrated that increments in development and yield at low measures of vermicompost in the preparing medium could likely be because of progress in the physicochemical properties of the compartment medium, increment in enzymatic movement, increments in microbial variety and action, nourishing variables and plant development controllers (Arancon et al., 2004; Tomati and Galli, 1995; Atiyeh et al., 2000). Results acquired from this examination uncovered that development and yield boundaries, for example, leaf territory, dry shoot loads and weight of organic products were altogether influenced by applying vermicompost. Arancon et al. (2004) revealed beneficial outcomes of vermicompost on the development and yield in strawberry, particularly expands leaf zone, shoot dry weight and organic product weight in field conditions. Mishra et al. (2005) demonstrated that vermicompost effectually affected development and yield of rice, particularly caused huge increment of numerous development boundaries, seeds germination, chlorophyll focus and yield. Comparative outcomes were noted by Maynard (1995), who revealed that tomato yields in field soils revised with fertilizer were essentially more

noteworthy than those in the untreated plots. Goswani et al. (2001) announced that the expansion of vermicompost at paces of 0, 20, 30 and 40 t ha<sup>-1</sup> to tomatoes developed in the field created tomato yields of 114, 138, 163 and 192 t ha<sup>-1</sup> individually contrasted with 56 t ha<sup>-1</sup> for inorganically treated plants.

The decrease in quantities of organic products having Blossom-End Rot side effects with adding of vermicompost could likely be because of expansions in Ca take-up by plant (Aggelides and Londra, 1999).

This investigation demonstrated that the expansion of vermicompost to soil influenced some of natural product quality boundaries, for example, juice EC and organic product dry issue content. The EC of vermicompost relies upon the crude materials utilized for vermicomposting and is identified with their particle fixation (Atiyeh et al., 2000b). Gutierrez-Miceli et al. (2007) detailed that tomatoes filled in soil, blended in with sheep-excrement vermicompost were ideal for juice creation in light of the fact that dissolvable solids >4.5 % and pH <4.4.

The aftereffects of this analysis demonstrated that the expansion in development and yield of tomatoes with expansion of vermicompost is related with more prominent take-up component supplements, for example, P, K, Fe and Zn. The accessible supplement status of soil was significantly upgraded by the use of vermicompost as a natural source (Prabha et al., 2007).

Vermicompost improved P fixation and take-up in soil, expanding the solubilisation of P either by microorganisms initiation with discharge of natural acids likes citrus, glutamic, tartaric, succinic, lactic, oxalic, malic and fumaric (SubbaRoa, 1982) or by higher phosphatase action (Sainz et al., 1998).

Bhasker et al. (1992) announced that the expansion in K take-up by vermicompost application might be because of upgrade in K accessibility by moving the harmony among the types of K from generally interchangeable K to dissolvable K structures in the dirt. The absolute Zn content, pH, natural issue, adsorption destinations and microbial action of the dirt influence the Zn accessibility (Jordao et al., 2006).

## CONCLUSION

The utilization of vermicompost for developing pepper plants didn't greaterly affect plant development and profitability than different manures.

Substance composts (T3 ) have demonstrated to be the best mechanism for developing pepper plants creating plants with more prominent plant stature, leaf number, number of branches, and organic product yield. Synthetic composts in addition to the fact that affect plants development emphatically impactsly affect pepper plants by causing bug and sicknesses on each plant filled in this therapy and untimely dropping of organic products. Pepper plants additionally had a deferral in blooming and fruiting period when contrasted with vermicompost, and endurance rate was contrarily influenced when contrasted with different medicines. With presence of nuisance and infections, plants will require pesticide which thus may leave buildup in plants products of the soil cycle into our framework upon utilization. T2 was the second best mechanism for developing pepper plants delivering plants with greatest chlorophyll content, quicker germination rate and quicker development rate. Treatment T4 was second best medium creating pepper with high measure of nutrient C though in charge development pace of pepper plants was moderately extremely poor.

The aftereffects of this analysis demonstrated that the expansion in development and yield of tomatoes with expansion of vermicompost could connect with more noteworthy take-up component supplements, for example, P, K, Fe and Zn.

Expanding in Fe and Zn take-up by plant is related with direct adding these supplements into soil contingent upon their sum in vermicompost extricate, mineralization of natural issue, abatement of soil pH by natural acids created in vermicompost and builds micronutrient edifices development (Gopal Reddy and Suryanarayan Reddy, 1998; Wong et al., 1999).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Arancon N, Edwards C, Bierman P, Metzger J, Lee S, Welch C. Effects of vermicompost on growth and marketable fruits of field grown tomatoes, peppers and strawberries. *Pedobiologia*. 2003;47:731-735
- [2] Ansari A. Vermitechnology- Permutations and Combinations of Organic Waste. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing GmbH and Co. KG; 2012. pp. 6-8
- [3] Arancon N. and Edwards C. 2005 .Effects of Vermicompost on plant growth, International Symposium Workshop on Vermi-Technologies for Developing Countries (ISWVT 2005), Los Banos, Philippines. pp. 2
- [4] Ansari AA, Sukhraj. Effect of vermiwash and vermicompost on soil parameters and productivity of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) in Guyana. *African*

*Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2010;5(14):1794-1795

[5] Dominguez J, Edwards C. Chapter 2: Relationship between Composting and Vermicomposting. Taylor and Francis Group LLC. 2011. pp. 20-21

[6] Ansari AA, Ismail SA. Management of Organic Waste: Earthworms and Vermiculture biotechnology. *Management of Organic Waste (Chapter 5)*. 2012a:90-92

[7] Ansari AA, Ismail SA. Role of earthworms in Vermitechnology. *Journal of Agricultural Technology*. 2012b;8(2):403-415

[8] Najar I, Khan A. Effect of vermicompost on the growth and productivity of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) under field conditions. *Acta Biologica Malaysiana*. 2013;2(1): 12-21

[9] Lazcano C, Dominguez J. The Use of Vermicompost in Sustainable Agriculture: Impact of Plant Growth and Soil Fertility (Chapter 10). ISBN: 978-1-61324-785-32011. p. 1 The Effect of Vermicompost and Other Fertilizers on the Growth and Productivity of Pepper... <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.73262> 185

[10] Homer F. Soil Analysis Manuel. Guyana: Central Analytical and Environmental Monitoring Services, Agriculture Research Department, LBI; 2003. p. 100

[11] Aneja KR. Experiments in Microbiology, Plant Pathology, Tissue Culture and Mushroom Cultivation. Second ed. New Delhi: WishwaPrakashan (New Age International (P) limited); 1996 pp: 36-38, 64-68, 118-120

[12] Thangjam R. Biochemical Methods. Second ed. New Delhi: New Age International (P) Limited; 1996. pp. 178-186

[13] International Plant and Nutrition Institute (IPNI), 2015. Soil pH and the Availability of Plant Nutrients [Internet]. The Fertilizer Institute [Cited 2015-07-27].

[14] Mathiavanan S, Kalaikandhan R, Chidambaram ALA, Sundramoorthy P. Effect of Vermicompost on the growth and nutrient status in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*. L). *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences and Research*. 2013;3(2):15-22

[15] Wilkinson KG, Tee E, Tomkins RB, Hepworth G, Premier R. Effect of heating and aging of poultry litter on the persistence of enteric bacteria. *Poultry Science*. 2011;90:10

[16] Monroe. Organic Fertilizer Vs. Chemical Fertilizer-Does it Matter? [Internet]. 2015 Monroe Works [Cited July 20th, 2015].

[17] Ibiene AA, Okerentugba PO, Akhigbemen OJ. Effect of some plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on growth of *Piper Nigra*. *Stem cell*. 2014;4(4):17-26



[18] Joshi R, Singh J, Vig AP. Vermicompost as an effective organic fertilizer and biocontrol agent: Effect on growth, yield and quality of plants. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology*, ISSN: 1569-1705. 2014:1-25

[19] Patel AD, Lalcheta K, Gill SS, Tuteja N. In: Tuteja N, Gill SS, editors. *Salinity Tolerance of Avicennia officinalis L. (Acanthaceae) from Gujarat Coasts of India*. Germany: Wiley; 2014. pp. 1-20

[20] Locascio SJ, Nettles VF, Neller JR. The Effect of Sawdust Incorporation in a Soil on Growth of Irish Potatoes and Sweet Corn. Gainesville: Florida Agricultural Experiment Station; 2008. pp. 197-201

[21] Iderawumi AM, Olusola OS, Friday CE. Integrated application of urea and sawdust ash: Effect on soil chemical properties, plant nutrients and sorghum performance. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*. 2012;1(4):38-41

[22] Chamani E, Joyce DC, Reihanytabar A. Vermicompost effects on the growth and flowering of *Petunia Hybrida* dream neon rose, American-Eurasian. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Science*. 2008;3(3):506-512

[23] Bachman GR, Metzger JD. Growth of bedding plants in commercial potting substrate amended with vermicompost. *Bioresource Technology*. 2007;99:3155-3161

[24] Taleshi K, Shokoh-far A, Rafiee M, Noormahamadi G, Sakinejhad T. Effect of vermicompost and nitrogen levels on yield and yield component of safflower (*Carthamus Tinctorius L.*) under late season drought stress. *International Journal of Agronomy and Plant Production*. 2011;2(1):15-22

[25] Ashraf MY, Ashraf M, Akhtar M, Mahmood K, Saleem M. Improvement in yield, quality and reduction in fruit drop in Kinnow (citrus *Reticulata* Blanco) by exogenous application of plant growth regulators, potassium and zinc. *Pakistan Journal of Anatomy*. 2013;45:434-439

[26] Lovatt CJ. Factors Affecting Fruit Set/Early Fruit Drop in Avocado [Internet]. California Avocado Society 1990 Yearbook [Cited 2015, July 14].

[27] Mamta, Wani K, Rao R. Effect of vermicompost on growth of brinjal (*Solanum melongena*) under field conditions. *Journal on New Biological Reports*. 2012;1(1), ISSN: 2319-1104: 25-28

**1:** Aggelides, S.M. and P.A. Londra, 1999. Effect of compost produced from town waste and sewage sludge on the physical properties of a loamy and a clay soil. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 71: 253-259. [CrossRef](#) |

**2:** Albiach, R., R. Canet, F. Pomares and F. Ingelmo,

2000. Microbial biomass content and enzymatic activities after the application of organic amendments to a horticultural soil. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 75: 43-48. [CrossRef](#) | [Direct](#) | [Link](#) |

**3:** Arancon, N.Q., C.A. Edwards, R.M. Atiyeh and T.D. Metzger, 2004. Effect of vermicomposts produced from food waste on the growth and yields of greenhouse peppers. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 93: 139-144.

[Direct](#) | [Link](#) |

**4:** Arancon, N.Q., C.I. Edwards, P. Bierman, C. Welch and T.D. Metzger, 2004. In fluences of vermicomposts on field strawberries: 1. Effect on growth and yields. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 93: 145-153. [CrossRef](#) |

**5:** Atiyeh, R.M., J. Dominguez, S. Sulber and C.A. Edwards, 2000. Change in biochemical properties of cow manure during processing by earthworms (*Eisenia andrei*. Bouche) and the effects on seedling growth. *Pedobiologia*, 44: 709-724. [CrossRef](#) |

**6:** Bhasker, A., A.N. Macgregor and J.H. Kirkman, 1992. Influence of soil ingestion by earthworms on the availability of potassium in soil: An incubation experiment. *Biol. Fert. Soil*, 14: 300-303. [CrossRef](#) |

**7:** Donate-Correa, J., M. Leon-Barrios and R. Perez-Galdona, 2005. Screening for plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria in *Chamaecytisus proliferus* (tagasaste), a forage tree-shrub legume endemic to the Canary Islands. *Plant Soil*, 266: 261-272. [CrossRef](#) | [Direct](#) | [Link](#) |

**8:** Dominguez, J., C.A. Edwards and S. Subler, 1997. A comparison of vermicomposting and composting. *Biocycle*, 4: 57-59. [Direct](#) | [Link](#) |

**9:** Edwards, C.A., 1998. The Use of Earthworms in the Breakdown and Management of Organic Wastes. In: *Earthworm Ecology*, Edwards, C.A. (Ed.). CRC Press LLC, Boca Raton, FL, ISBN: 084931819X, pp: 327-354.

**10:** Edwards, C.A., I. Burrows, K.E. Fletcher and B.A. Jones, 1985. The Use of Earthworms for Composting Farm Waste. In: *Composting Agricultural and Other Waste*. Gasser, J.K.R. (Ed.). Elsevier, London and New York, ISBN: 0-85334-357-8, pp: 229-241.

**11:** Gopal, R.B. and R.M. Suryanarayan, 1998. Effect of organic manures and nitrogen levels on soil available nutrients status in maize-soybean cropping system. *J. Ind. Soc. Soil Sci.*, 46: 474-476.  
[Direct](#) | [Link](#) |

**12:** Goswami, B., M.C. Kalita and S. Talukdar, 2001. Bioconversion of municipal solid waste through vermicomposting. *Asian J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. Environ. Sci.*, 3: 205-207.

**13:** Gutierrez-Miceli, F.A., J. Santiago-Borraz, J.A. Molina, C.C. Nafate and M. Abud-Archila *et al.*, 2007. Vermicompost as a soil supplement to improve growth, yield and fruit quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). *Bioresour. Technol.*, 98: 2781-2786.  
[CrossRef](#) | [Direct](#) | [Link](#) |

**14:** Han, J., L. Sun, X. Dong, Z. Cai, H. Yang, Y. Yang and W. Song, 2005. Characterization of a novel plant growth-promoting bacteria strain *Delftia suruhatensis* HR4 both as a diazotroph and a potential biocontrol agent against various pathogens. *Syst. Applied Microbiol.*, 28: 66-76.  
[CrossRef](#) |

**15:** Jordao, C.P., C.C. Nascentes, P.R. Cecon, R.L.F. Fontes and J.L. Pereira, 2006. Heavy metal availability in soil amended with composted urban solid wastes. *Environ. Monitoring Assess.*, 112: 309-326.  
[CrossRef](#) |

**16:** Kaushik, P. and V.K. Garg, 2003. Vermicomposting of mixed solid textile mill sludge and cow dung with the epigeic earthworm *Eisenia foetida*. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 90: 311-316.  
[CrossRef](#) | [Direct](#) | [Link](#) |

**17:** Marinari, S., G. Masciandaro, B. Ceccanti and S. Grero, 2000. Influence of organic and mineral fertilizers on soil biological and physical properties. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 72: 9-17.  
[CrossRef](#) |

**18:** Mishra, M.S., K. Rajani, K. Sahu-Sanjat and N. Padhy-Rabindra, 2005. Effect of vermicomposted municipal solid wastes on growth, yield and heavy metal contents of rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Fresenius Environ. Bull.*, 14: 584-590.  
[Direct](#) | [Link](#) |

**19:** Maynard, A.A., 1995. Cumulative effect of

annual additions of MSW compost on the yield of field-grown tomatoes. *Compost Sci. Util.*, 3: 47-54.

**20:** Orozco, F.H., J. Cegarra, L.M. Trujillo and A. Roig, 1996. Vermicomposting of coffee pulp using the earthworm *Eisenia fetida*: Effects on C and N contents and the availability of nutrients. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 22: 162-166.  
[CrossRef](#) | [Direct](#) | [Link](#) |

**21:** Prabha, K.P., Y.L. Loretta and R.K. Usha, 2007. An experimental study of vermin-biowaste composting for agricultural soil improvement. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 99: 1672-1681.

**22:** Sainz, M.T., M.T. Taboada-Castro and A. Vilarino, 1998. Growth, mineral nutrition and mycorrhizal colonization of red clover and cucumber plants grown in a soil amended with composted urban waste. *Plant Soil*, 205: 85-92.  
[CrossRef](#) |

**23:** Subba Rao, N.S., 1982. Utilization of Farm Wastes and Residues in Agriculture. In: *Advances in Agricultural Microbiology*. Subba Rao, N.C. (Ed.). Butterworth Scientific, London, ISBN: 0408187084 pp: 509-522.

**24:** Sulber, S., C.A. Edwards and J. Metzger, 1998. Comparing vermicomposts and composts. *Biocycle*, 39: 63-66.  
[Direct](#) | [Link](#) |

**25:** Tomati, U. and E. Galli, 1995. Earthworm, soil fertility and plant productivity. *Acta Zoologica Fennica*, 196: 11-14.  
[Direct](#) | [Link](#) |

**26:** Tomati, U., E. Galli, A. Grappelli and G. Dihena, 1990. Effect of earthworm caste on protein synthesis in radish (*Raphanus sativus*) and lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) seedlings. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 9: 288-289.  
[CrossRef](#) |

**27:** Wilson, D.P. and W.R. Carlile, 1989. Plant growth in potting media containing worm-worked duck waste. *Acta Hort.*, 238: 205-220.  
[Direct](#) | [Link](#) |

**28:** Wong, J.W.C., K.M. Fang and C. Cheung, 1999. Utilization of a manure compost for organic farming in Hong Kong. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 67: 43-46.  
[CrossRef](#) |

**29:** Atiyeh, R.M., N. Arancon, C.A. Edwards and J.D. Metzger, 2000. Influence of Earthworm-processed pig manure on the growth and yield of greenhouse tomatoes. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 75: 175-180.

[CrossRef](#) | [Direct](#) 

---

 [Link](#) |

**30:** Rodriguez, H. and R. Fraga, 1999. Phosphate solubilizing bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion. *Biotechnol. Adv.*, 17: 319-339.

[CrossRef](#) | [Direct Link](#) |